

METHOD OF PREPARATION OF HIGH QUALITY SOY-CONTAINING MEAT AND MEAT ANALOG PRODUCTS

The present application is a continuation-in-part application of United States Patent Application Serial Number 09/939,500, filed August 23, 2001, which was based on, a claimed benefit of, United States Provisional Application Serial Number 60/250,228, filed on November 30, 2000, both of which are hereby incorporated by reference.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates generally to the processing of soy-derived materials for use in various food products, especially meat and meat analog products. More particularly, the invention relates to a method of deflavoring soy materials in order to make them acceptable in a wide range of foods, including meat and meat analog products.

In recent years, soy proteins have become widely used in food products, for the health benefits to be obtained from their use. In some applications, the taste of the soy materials is not objectionable. However, in some uses, such as dairy analog products, beverages and the like, the flavors found in soy materials may prevent their ready acceptance by the consumer. Thus, in order to extend the uses of soy materials, the present inventors wanted to find a method of reducing the flavor components of soy materials. However, it was not evident that methods which had been used previously to remove flavor components from other organic materials would be successful in the treating of soy materials. Organic materials, since they have complex compositions, must be tested to determine whether any given method of treating them will be satisfactory.

One example of previously employed methods to purify organic materials is found in U.S. Patent 4,477,480, in which the patentees show that starch can be treated with an alkali to remove objectionable flavor components. In a commonly assigned patent, U.S. Patent 4,761,186, ultrafiltration is used to purify starch. In both cases, flavor components are

removed from the starch, in the '480 patent by solubilizing the flavor components so that they can be washed out of the relatively insoluble starch. In the '186 patent, ultrafiltration was used to remove the flavor components as permeate, while the insoluble starch remained in an aqueous slurry. By
5 contrast, the present invention separates flavor components from soluble high molecular weight soy proteins.

There are many articles and patents which relate to processing soy materials in order to recover the protein content and which at the same time reduce the flavor compounds to make the proteins more acceptable in food
10 products. However, these previous disclosures were not specifically directed to removal of flavoring compounds and recovering as much of the protein as possible. One example is U.S. Patent 4,420,425 in which protein components of soy are solubilized at a pH of 7 to 11, preferably about 8 and, after ultrafiltration through a membrane having a molecular weight cut off
15 above 70,000, are recovered by spray drying the retained soy proteins. In variants, only a portion of the protein is solubilized at lower pH values and subjected to ultrafiltration with a membrane having a cutoff preferably above 100,000 molecular weight, the product was found to have improved color and flavor. A higher cutoff valve would be expected to result in a loss of valuable
20 proteins. In another patent, U.S. Patent 5,658,714, a soy flour slurry is pH-adjusted to the range of 7 to 10 to solubilize proteins, which are then passed through an ultrafiltration membrane and phytate and aluminum are retained, presumably as solids. While the molecular weight cutoff of the membrane was not given, it is assumed that the pore size was large in order to be able to
25 pass the soluble proteins. Both of these patents contain extensive discussions of the efforts of others in the processing of soy materials; neither teaches or suggests the control of pH during the ultrafiltration process.

In a group of related patents, Mead Johnson Company disclosed processes for solubilizing soy proteins by raising the pH of an aqueous
30 solution of soy materials and recovering the proteins which are said to have a bland taste. The processes are principally directed to concentrating proteins

rather than removing flavor compounds. In U.S. Patent 3,995,071, the pH was increased to 10.1 to 14 (preferably 11 to 12) to solubilize soy proteins, after which the pH was lowered to about 6 to 10 and ultrafiltration with a membrane having a molecular weight cutoff of 10,000 to 50,000 Daltons was used to retain the proteins while discarding carbohydrates and minerals. In 5 U.S. Patent 4,072,670, emphasis was placed on removing phytates and phytic acid by solubilizing proteins at a pH of 10.6 to 14 and a temperature of 10 to 50°C to make the phytates and phytic acid insoluble, then separating them and finally acidifying the solution to a pH of about 4 to 5 to precipitate 10 the soy proteins. In U.S. Patent 4,091,120 soy proteins were solubilized at a pH less than 10, preferably 7 to 9 and ultrafiltration was used to separate the proteins as retentate, while passing carbohydrates as permeate. These patent do not teach or suggest control of the pH during the ultrafiltration process.

15 The present inventors wanted to remove compounds in soy materials which contribute color and flavor and which interfere with the use of soy in certain food products such as beverages, dairy analogs, and the like. They have found that soy-derived materials can be treated successfully using the process to be described below, recovering substantially all of the proteins and 20 rejecting the compounds which cause undesirable color and flavor. Moreover, by controlling the pH within the range of about 9 to about 12 during the ultrafiltration process, deflavored soy materials having improved functional properties can be obtained. Thus, the product is suitable for many food products.

25 SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides soy-containing meat and meat analog products prepared using deflavored soy protein. Broadly, the deflavored soy protein is prepared using a process wherein an aqueous soy composition is prepared having a soy concentration of about 1 to about 20 percent, which 30 composition is then pH-adjusted to solubilize the protein content and to

release the flavoring compounds. Then the composition is subjected to ultrafiltration, while maintaining pH control, using a membrane capable of retaining substantially all of the protein content of the soy while removing flavoring components as permeate.

5 The deflavored soy materials prepared by the present methods are ideally suited for use in dairy and non-dairy beverages, smoothies, health drinks, confectionary type products, nutritional bars, cheese products, dairy and non-dairy yogurts, meat and meat analog products, cereals, baked products, snacks, and the like.

10 In one embodiment, the present invention provides a soy-containing meat or meat analog product comprising a deflavored soy protein material, wherein the deflavored soy protein material is prepared by a method comprising:

 (a) obtaining a soy protein composition containing soluble soy proteins,
15 flavoring compounds, and insoluble materials;

 (b) solubilizing the soy proteins by adjusting the soy protein composition of (a) to a pH in the range of about 9 to about 12 and releasing the flavoring compounds;

 (c) passing the pH-adjusted soy protein composition of (b) adjacent an
20 ultrafiltration membrane having a molecular weight cutoff up to about 50,000 Daltons, while maintaining the pH in the range of about 9 to about 12, under suitable ultrafiltration conditions wherein the flavor compounds pass through the membrane, thereby deflavoring the soy protein composition and retaining substantially all of the solubilized soy proteins; and

25 (d) recovering the solubilized soy proteins retained by the ultrafiltration membrane, wherein the recovered solubilized soy proteins is the deflavored soy protein material.

 In another embodiment, the present invention provides a method of preparing a soy-containing meat or meat analog product, said method
30 comprising

mixing a deflavored soy protein material and a meat or meat analog composition to form the soy-containing cheese product;

wherein the deflavored soy protein material is prepared by a method comprising:

5 (a) obtaining a soy protein composition containing soluble soy proteins, flavoring compounds, and insoluble materials;

(b) solubilizing the soy proteins by adjusting the soy protein composition of (a) to a pH in the range of about 9 to about 12 and releasing the flavoring compounds;

10 (c) passing the pH-adjusted soy protein composition of (b) adjacent an ultrafiltration membrane having a molecular weight cutoff up to about 50,000 Daltons, while maintaining the pH in the range of about 9 to about 12, under suitable ultrafiltration conditions wherein the flavor compounds pass through the membrane, thereby deflavoring the soy protein composition and retaining
15 substantially all of the solubilized soy proteins; and

(d) recovering the solubilized soy proteins retained by the ultrafiltration membrane, wherein the recovered solubilized soy proteins is the deflavored soy protein material.

In one aspect, the invention is a method of deflavoring soy-derived
20 materials such as soy milk, soy flour, soy concentrates, and soy protein isolates, which method includes preparing an aqueous composition of the soy material containing flavoring compounds, adjusting the pH to the range of about 9 to 12 to solubilize the protein content of the soy material and release the flavor components, and then passing the pH-adjusted composition
25 adjacent to an ultrafiltration membrane having pores which provide a molecular weight cutoff up to 50,000 Daltons while maintaining the pH in the range of about 9 to about 12, thus retaining substantially all of the protein content, while passing through the pores the flavor producing compounds.

In another aspect, the invention includes adjusting the pH to the range
30 of about 9 to 12 with an alkali such as sodium, potassium or calcium hydroxides to solubilize the protein content and releasing the flavor

compounds, making it possible to separate such compounds by ultrafiltration. Importantly, the pH is also controlled within the range of about 9 to about 12 during the ultrafiltration process.

5 In one embodiment, the invention is a method for deflavoring soy materials in a continuous process wherein a pH-adjusted aqueous mixture of soy materials is passed adjacent an ultrafiltration membrane to separate the flavor components. The pH is maintained at about 9 to about 12 during the ultrafiltration by the addition of the appropriate amount of an appropriate pH-altering material (generally a base). The permeate containing flavor
10 components and water is passed adjacent a reverse osmosis membrane to dewater the permeate and the separated water is recycled to join recycled retentate and fresh pH-adjusted soy materials. A portion of the retentate is continually removed and the deflavored soy materials recovered.

15 In a preferred embodiment, the invention is a method for deflavoring soy materials in a batch or semi-continuous process wherein a pH-adjusted aqueous mixture of soy materials is passed adjacent an ultrafiltration membrane, the permeate is separated for recovery of the flavor components, and the retentate is recycled to join fresh pH-adjusted soy materials. Water is added periodically or continuously to replace the water lost to the permeate
20 and to adjust the concentration of soy materials in the combined stream to a predetermined level. If necessary, a pH-altering material (e.g., a base) can be added to the recycled retentate or added water to control the pH to the desired range during the ultrafiltration process. The process is continued until all of the flavoring compounds have been removed.

25 In another preferred embodiment, the present invention provides a method for preparing deflavored soy protein material, said method comprising:

(a) preparing an aqueous composition of a soy material containing soluble soy proteins, flavoring compounds, and insoluble materials;

(b) solubilizing the soy proteins by adjusting the aqueous composition of (a) to a pH in the range of about 9 to about 12 and releasing the flavoring compounds;

5 (c) removing the insoluble materials from the pH-adjusted aqueous composition of (b) to obtain a treated aqueous composition;

(d) passing the treated aqueous composition of (c) adjacent an ultrafiltration membrane having a molecular weight cutoff up to about 50,000 Daltons, while maintaining the pH in the range of about 9 to about 12, under suitable ultrafiltration conditions wherein the flavor compounds pass through
10 the membrane, thereby deflavoring the soy material and retaining substantially all of the solubilized soy proteins; and

(e) recovering the solubilized soy proteins retained by the ultrafiltration membrane to obtain the deflavored soy protein material.

The ultrafiltration membrane used in the method of the invention will
15 have a molecular weight cutoff up to 50,000 Daltons, preferably 1,000 to 50,000, most preferably about 10,000 and preferably is a polyethersulfone or ceramic membrane.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING

FIG. 1 is a graph of the intensity of soy flavor attributes.

20 FIG. 2 is a graph of the intensity of deflavored soy milk compared to a control sample.

FIG. 3 is a graph of the intensity of another group of soy flavor attributes.

25 FIG. 4 is a graph of the intensity of deflavored soy concentrate and a control sample compared to the sample of FIG. 3.

FIG. 5 is a graph of the intensity of deflavored soy concentrate and a control sample.

FIG. 6 is a graph showing the change in concentration of flavor compounds between a deflavored soy sample and a control sample.

30 FIG. 7 is a graph showing the change in concentration of flavor compounds between a deflavored soy sample and a control sample.

FIG. 8 is a block diagram of one process employing the invention.

FIG. 9 is a graph of the intensity of soy isolate flavor attributes.

FIG. 10 is a graph of the intensity of deflavored soy isolate compared to a control sample.

5 FIG. 11 is a block diagram of a preferred embodiment for preparing the deflavored soy protein material.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Soy-Derived Materials. Soybeans are valuable sources of oil and, in the present invention, of proteins. Soybeans contain about 40 percent
10 proteins, which have been classified after ultracentrifugation as 2S, 7S, 11S and 15S (see also U.S. Patent 4,420,425). These fractions may contain other materials as well and they have a wide molecular-weight range, from 3,000 to 600,000. It is well known that soy products have undesirable odors and flavors which should be removed in order to make the soy materials widely
15 useful in food products. It is believed that lipoxygenases catalyze the oxidation of certain polyunsaturated fatty acids, producing hydroperoxides which are degraded into volatile carbonyl compounds, associated with objectionable odors and flavors in soy-derived materials. Some of the compounds associated with soy flavors are described in Table C in Example
20 10 below.

While the protein content of soy-derived materials is considered a valuable fraction for use in food products, soluble carbohydrates are considered undesirable. Their removal from soy protein fractions is an objective in many processes in which the proteins are recovered.

25 Phytates are compounds which also are considered undesirable in soy proteins. These compounds are calcium-magnesium-potassium salts of inositol hexaphosphoric acid. Such compounds are believed to chelate metal ions and are not readily absorbed by the human body. They are considered to bind to soy proteins and interfere with digestion. As mentioned above,
30 removal of phytates has been an objective of workers in the field of soy-derived materials.

Ultrafiltration Membranes. Filtration is used to separate many materials. In the present invention, ultrafiltration is used to remove flavoring compounds from soy-derived materials. Importantly, the pH of the soy-derived material should be maintained in the range of about 9 to about 12 during the ultrafiltration process. Ultrafiltration is intended to remove particles having a size between 10 to 1,000 Angstroms (0.001 to 0.1 μm), corresponding generally to particles having a molecular weight between 10,000 and 1,000,000, and which may also be affected by the shape of such high molecular weight particles. Soy proteins have molecular range between about 3,000 and 600,000. A membrane may be chosen which is capable of passing all of the soy proteins or only a selected portion. In the present invention, the soy proteins are retained by the ultra filtration membrane under the selected operating conditions, while the lower molecular weight flavoring compounds pass through the membrane and are separated, thus improving the color and flavor of the retained soy proteins and associated solids.

A polymer ultrafiltration membrane may be defined as an anisotropic (non-uniform) layer. One face is a skin containing pores which determine the size of molecules which can pass through the membrane. Supporting the surface skin is a spongy structure which extends to the opposite face. Such membranes are commonly made by coagulation of polymers in an aqueous bath. Typical polymers which are used include polysulfones, cellulose esters, poly(vinylidene fluoride), poly (dimethylphenylene oxide), poly (acrylonitrile), which can be cast into membranes. Often, the membranes are formed into hollow tubes which are assembled into bundles, through which the solution to be filtered is passed. Alternatively, flat membrane sheets and spiral designs may be used. In commercial practice, pressure is applied to facilitate movement of the lower molecular weight compounds through the membrane. The membrane must be able to withstand the pressures used, making it important that the spongy supporting structure be uniform to avoid breaking the surface skin and bypassing the membrane.

In addition to the polymeric membranes just described, other materials have been used to make ultrafiltration membranes, such as ceramics,

sintered metals, and other inorganic materials. The present invention is not limited to any particular type of membrane. The present invention is not limited to any particular type of membrane. In general, the membrane must be able to pass the flavoring compounds, which are believed to have
5 molecular weights lower than 1,000 Dalton. More importantly, the membranes must be able to retain substantially all of the solubilized soy proteins. Thus, the membrane of the invention will have a molecular weight cutoff up to about 50,000 Daltons, preferably about 1,000 to 50,000, more preferably 10,000 to 30,000.

10 **Process.** The process of the invention includes the following steps:

(1) Prepare an aqueous mixture of the soy-derived material;

(2) Add a base to raise the pH of the aqueous mixture to about 9 to about 12 in order to solubilize the soy proteins and to release the flavoring compounds;

15 (3) Pass the pH-adjusted mixture, while maintaining the pH in the range of about 9 to about 12, adjacent to an ultrafiltration membrane having a molecular weight cutoff up to about 50,000, remove the flavoring compounds as permeate, and remove the remaining soy proteins and other soy materials as retentate; and

20 (4) Neutralize the retentate and recover the soy proteins.

All types of soy materials are considered to be potential sources of soy for use in food products. Thus, soy materials which contain proteins are combined into an aqueous mixture, generally a slurry of soy solids. The protein content is needed for food products, but as discussed above, it is
25 believed to contain flavoring compounds which must be released in order that they can be separated. The separation of flavoring compounds is carried out in an aqueous mixture in which both the proteins and flavoring compounds are dissolved. The concentration of the soy materials in the aqueous mixture will be in the range of about 1 to about 20 percent. Generally, the
30 concentration of soy materials after pH adjustment will change during the subsequent ultrafiltration step as water is removed with the permeate. The water will be replaced either periodically or continuously. For example, in

diafiltration water is added to gradually dilute the retained proteins in a batch or semi-continuous process.

The second step, as will be seen in the examples, is important if removal of the flavoring compounds is to be accomplished. The soy proteins are solubilized by adding a base to the aqueous mixture to achieve a pH of about 9 to 12. In general, it has been found that a pH of 9 is needed to solubilize all of the proteins, while a pH higher than 12 is likely to cause undesirable degradation of the proteins. While in theory, any base might be used, sodium or potassium hydroxide are preferred, particularly potassium hydroxide. Other bases which may have application include calcium, magnesium and ammonium hydroxides. It is believed that solubilizing the soy proteins changes their shape and in some manner results in releasing the flavoring compounds, which may be bound or encapsulated by the soy proteins when they are in a neutral or acid solution. The flavoring compounds, which have relatively low molecular weight compared to the soy proteins are able to pass through the pores of the ultrafiltration membrane, while substantially all of the solubilized soy proteins are too large and are retained. Importantly, the pH should be maintained within the just described range (i.e., about 9 to about 12) during the ultrafiltration/diafiltration process to allow as much of the flavoring compounds as possible to be removed.

The third step could be carried out in a batch manner similar to the laboratory experiments reported below in Examples 1-5 in which the flavor compounds and water passed through the membrane and were removed by flowing water. However, in commercial applications of the process of the invention, the pH-adjusted aqueous mixture would be circulated continuously adjacent to an ultrafiltration membrane. Since water, the caustic and the flavoring compounds pass through the membrane as permeate and are discarded, additional water will be added to maintain the desired concentration of soy materials, which will tend to lower the pH of the aqueous mixture. This water may be augmented by dewatering the permeate and recycling the recovered water to the feed stream. A pH-modifying material (e.g., base) can be added as necessary to control the pH in the desired range

(i.e., about 9 to about 12) directly to the ultrafiltration solution, to any recycled aqueous material, or to makeup water as desired.

After removal of the flavoring compounds (i.e., after completion of the ultrafiltration process), further neutralization of the filtered solution may be accomplished by withdrawing product and adding an acid as required to reach the desired pH. After pH adjustment, the aqueous mixture of soy proteins and other materials may be used directly in food products, or it may be concentrated or dried as required for the intended use.

A process for deflavoring soy materials by ultrafiltration may be operated in various ways. The pH during the ultrafiltration/diafiltration process is maintained in the range of about 9 to about 12, and preferably in the range of about 9.5 to about 10.5. Two methods will be described, continuous processing and batch (including semi-continuous operation) processing. It is expected that commercial processes will adopt batch or semi-continuous operation, which should be better suited to production of food-grade soy products. A continuous process is generally shown in FIG. 8. In either a continuous or batch process an aqueous mixture of soy materials is pH adjusted to solubilize soy proteins and release flavor compounds and then passed adjacent an ultrafiltration membrane which permits the lower molecular weight flavoring materials to pass through its pores along with water (the permeate), leaving the higher molecular weight soy materials (the retentate) to be recirculated. A portion of the retentate will be withdrawn as deflavored product, from which the soy materials can be recovered as needed for the ultimate end use. Water will be added to replace that lost in the permeate and to provide a constant concentration of soy materials in the feed stream supplied to the ultrafiltration membrane. Although not essential to the process, the process of FIG. 8 includes additional processing of the permeate to recover a portion of the water using a reverse osmosis membrane for recycling to join the retentate and fresh soy materials. The advantage of such a step is in reducing the amount of fresh water which must be added to the process and removed in concentrating the permeate. Of course, the pH of the soy-derived materials can be kept within the desired range by appropriate

addition of a base to the recycled or fresh water added to the process or by direct addition of base as desired.

5 In a batch process, such as those described in Examples 6-8 below, a batch of soy material is placed in a vessel, pH adjusted, and fed to an ultrafiltration membrane. The permeate is separated and the retentate is returned to the vessel. As the process proceeds, the soy material is depleted in the lower molecular weight flavoring compounds and water and becomes more concentrated in the desirable soy proteins. Periodically, water is added to the retentate to dilute it and provide a carrier for the flavoring compounds which are passed through the membrane. In a semi-continuous process the water is added continuously at the rate it is being removed in the permeate. The process is continued until all of the flavoring compounds have been removed and the retentate is sufficiently deflavored to become the product, which can be further processed as required for the ultimate end use. A batch or semi-continuous process may also include the concentration of the permeate, with recycle of separated water in a similar manner as that shown in FIG. 8. The pH during the ultrafiltration/diafiltration process is maintained in the range of about 9 to about 12, and preferably in the range of about 9.5 to about 10.5.

20 The ultrafiltration membrane will be operated with a pressure differential across the membrane which assists migration of the flavoring compounds, water and other materials which are capable of passing through the pores of the membrane, while not exceeding the physical strength of the membrane. Typical average pressure for such membranes are about 50 psi (345 kPa). The trans-membrane pressure (in versus out) will be about 15 psi (103 kPa). Of course, these pressures could be varied based on the membrane's specifications and other operational concerns. The flow rate of the feed stream will provide sufficient residence time for significant permeate removal, but also will be high enough to provide turbulence so that the access of the feed stream to the membrane pores will not be hindered by solid deposits on the membrane walls. One skilled in the art will understand that

suitable operating parameters will be determined by experience with the materials being separated.

In a preferred embodiment, the present invention provides a method for preparing deflavored soy protein material, said method comprising: (a) preparing an aqueous composition of a soy material containing soluble soy proteins, flavoring compounds, and insoluble materials; (b) solubilizing the soy proteins by adjusting the aqueous composition of (a) to a pH in the range of about 9 to about 12 and releasing the flavoring compounds; (c) removing the insoluble materials from the pH-adjusted aqueous composition of (b) to obtain a treated aqueous composition; (d) passing the treated aqueous composition of (c) adjacent an ultrafiltration membrane having a molecular weight cutoff up to about 50,000 Daltons, while maintaining the pH in the range of about 9 to about 12, under suitable ultrafiltration conditions wherein the flavor compounds pass through the membrane, thereby deflavoring the soy material and retaining substantially all of the solubilized soy proteins; and (e) recovering the solubilized soy proteins retained by the ultrafiltration membrane to obtain the deflavored soy protein material. This preferred embodiment is described in more detail in copending U.S. Patent Application Serial Number _____ (Docket 77022), filed September 4, 2003 and entitled "Method of Deflavoring Soy-derived Materials," and which is hereby incorporated by reference.

This preferred embodiment is illustrated in FIG. 11 wherein the pH of an aqueous solution of soy protein is adjusted to about 9 to about 12. The pH-adjusted aqueous solution is then treated to remove insoluble materials. Any conventional technique (e.g., filtration, decantation, centrifugation, and the like) can be used. Preferably, the insoluble material is removed by centrifugation. Commercial available continuous centrifugation units are ideally suited for this separation in a semi-batch or continuous type operation. In an especially preferred embodiment, the pH-adjusted aqueous is subjected to the removal technique (e.g., centrifugation) at least twice in order facilitate or more complete removal of insoluble materials. The treated supernatant is then subjected to ultrafiltration, preferably combined with diafiltration, in order

to remove the flavor components normally associated with soybeans. During ultrafiltration, the pH of the soy-derived material should be maintained in the range of about 9 to about 12. After ultrafiltration, the pH is adjusted to a neutral pH using an edible acid (e.g., citric acid). The deffavored soy protein solution may be used directly or it may be converted to a solid form if desired. Any conventional technique for removing water can be used. Generally, spray or freeze drying techniques are preferred.

Deffavored Soy Products. The deffavored soy protein materials prepared by the present methods are ideally suited for use in dairy and non-dairy beverages, smoothies, health drinks, cheeses products, fermented dairy-type products such as dairy and non-dairy yogurts, meat and meat analog products, cereals, baked products, snacks, and the like. The present invention provides soy-containing meat and meat analog products prepared using deffavored soy protein. Soy-containing meat and meat analogy products containing deffavored soy flour, deffavored soy protein isolate, and/or deffavored soy protein concentrate are especially preferred.

Preferably, the deffavored soy materials are texturized prior to incorporation into the meat or meat analog product in order to develop meat-like texture (i.e., similar to fibers found in meat). Texturization can be accomplished by thermo-extrusion or coagulation of spun protein fibers in accordance with well-known processes. Preferred are particles or pieces of dehydrated spun protein in cylindrical or shredded shape. Preferred processing includes adding water and carrying out further size reduction by grinding, for example, so as to provide thin protein filaments. In the meat and meat analog products of this invention, these filaments provide texture properties similar to those of connective tissue proteins such as collagen normally present in meat products.

The texturized deffavored soy materials can also be used as, or act as, a discontinuous phase structured proteinaceous gel phase to hold or bind the meat or meat analog products together. For this purpose, the textured pieces are preferably sized to resemble a desired particular size of ground meat granules. Typically, these are thermally preformed pieces consisting of

protein and water. The pieces are not themselves cohesive, but provide a discontinuous gel phase. In the finished meat or meat analog products, they assist in forming the product as well as providing resistance to chew and resemble the texture of ground meat such as beef, pork or chicken.

5 These structured proteinaceous discontinuous gel phase particles can be prepared using the deflavored soy materials of this invention and the methods described in U.S. Patents 5,160,758, 5,433,969, and 5,858,442, each of which is hereby incorporated by reference.

10 Generally the soy-containing meat and meat analog products of this invention are prepared by blending the desired deflavored soy protein material with a meat or meat analog base composition. Soy-containing meat and meat analog products which contain, on a dry basis, about 5 to about 40 percent deflavored soy protein, and more preferably about 15 to about 25 percent deflavored soy protein, can be prepared using the method of this
15 invention without the flavor and/or odor defects normally associated with soybeans. Thus, using the present invention, soy-containing meat and meat analog products can be prepared with provided up to about 30 g of soy protein, and preferably about 5 to about 15 g, per single serving size (generally about 50 to 100 g is considered a single serving). This invention,
20 therefore, allows the incorporation of significant levels of soy protein in meat and meat analog products without the adverse organoleptic defects normally associated with soybeans.

 Unless noted otherwise, all percentages are by weight. All references cited herein are incorporated by reference.

25 **EXAMPLE 1.** Soy protein isolate (Protein Technology International (PTI); St. Louis, MO) was hydrated in tap water to provide a concentration of 10 percent. The aqueous composition was mixed with a magnetic stirrer until all of the soy protein isolate was completely dispersed. The pH of the mixture was adjusted to 11.0 using sodium hydroxide. Then, the pH-adjusted
30 composition was placed in a dialysis tube (Spectrum, Inc.) having a 3500 molecular weight pore size and tap water was passed over the outside of the tube continuously for about 4 hours; the pH remained greater than about 9

during dialysis. The composition remaining in the dialysis tube was poured into a glass beaker, neutralized, and evaluated for aroma and taste. A comparison was made with the dialyzed composition and a sample treated in a similar manner, but which had a pH of 6.7 and a second sample which had been neither dialyzed nor pH-adjusted. Blind evaluation by several individuals showed that only the pH-adjusted and dialyzed sample had significantly improved taste and aroma.

EXAMPLE 2. A similar test was carried out using soy milk (Devansoy Farms, Carroll, Iowa) made into a 10 percent aqueous composition and then pH-adjusted and dialyzed overnight as in Example 1. After the treatment, the pH of the sample was 8.8 and the aroma and taste were significantly improved.

EXAMPLE 3. Example 2 was repeated with soy milk freshly prepared by soaking and blanching the beans and then grinding and separating the soy milk from the meal. After pH adjustment and dialysis as previously described, it was found that the taste and aroma of the soy milk was significantly improved.

EXAMPLE 4. Example 3 was repeated using a dialysis tube having a pore size of 6000 molecular weight and similar results were obtained.

EXAMPLE 5. Example 2 was repeated with dry soy flour (Cargill, Inc.). The soy flour was hydrated to a 10 percent composition and then pH-adjusted as previously described. After dialyzing overnight, the pH of the remaining composition in the dialysis tube was 8.7 and the sample had significantly improved aroma and taste.

EXAMPLE 6. In a large mixing tank 33 pounds (15 kg) of Sun Rich soy milk containing 15 percent solids was diluted with 66 pounds (30 kg) of water to produce a slurry of 100 pounds (45 kg) containing 5 percent soy solids. A 1N NaOH solution was added slowly to solubilize the soy proteins until a pH of 11 was reached.

A diafiltration of the alkalized soy solution was carried out by pumping the solution from the mixing tank through two parallel hollow fiber membranes

(A/G Technology Corporation) having a molecular weight cutoff of 10,000 Daltons and a surface area of 3.3 m². The trans-membrane pressure across the membranes was 20-50 psi (138-345 kPa). The material passed through the membrane (permeate) was collected. The remaining material (retentate) was continuously recycled to the mixing tank. When 50 pounds (22.7 kg) of permeate had been collected, the mixing tank contained 50 pounds (22.7 kg) of soy solution. An additional 50 pounds (22.7 kg) of water was added to the mixing tank. The pH was maintained at about 9 to about 12 during ultrafiltration/diafiltration. This washing with addition of water to the mixing tank was repeated five times, after which the solution in the mixing tank was concentrated to about 10 percent solids as water was removed in the permeate and then the retained soy solution was neutralized with 2 percent citric acid to a pH of 7.0.

The neutralized solution was evaluated by a trained sensory panel and compared with a control sample of Sun Rich soy milk which had been diluted to 10 percent with water, but not otherwise treated. The soy solutions were presented in a blind and randomized order. The results are shown in the graphs of FIGS. 1 and 2.

FIG. 1 shows the mean intensity score for 10 attributes. The panel judged certain attributes to be more significant than others. When compared to the soy solution which had been treated as described above, the outstanding attributes had all been reduced with a 95 percent confidence level. Those attributes which had less prominent in the control (i.e., Brown, Sweet, Sour, Salt and Bitter) were reduced, except for Sweet which increased in value, but the panel mean values did not reach a 95 percent confidence level.

It is clear from the results that the soy solution had been rendered more neutral in flavor by removal of flavor components.

EXAMPLE 7. Ten pounds (4.55 kg) of a soy protein concentrate (Central Soya) was mixed with 190 pounds (86.4 kg) of water in a tank with high agitation for 15-30 minutes to hydrate the soy protein. Then 1 N NaOH was added to solubilize the soy protein to a pH of 11. In a similar manner to

that described in Example 6 the soy slurry was pumped through a spiral membrane (Gea Niro Inc.) having a molecular weight cutoff of 10,000 Daltons. The trans-membrane pressure across the membrane was maintained below 50 psi (344.7 kPa). The pressure drop through the
5 membrane was maintained below 15 psi (103.4 kPa) and the pH was maintained at about 9 to about 12. As in Example 6, five additions of water were made when the permeate withdrawn from the membrane reached one-half of the original volume in the mixing tank. After five water additions the pH of the washed soy solution was adjusted to 7.5 by adding 0.5 N HCl and then
10 freeze dried for sensory evaluation.

The deflavored soy protein concentrate was evaluated for six attributes by a trained sensory panel. The mean values for each attribute for the control sample (untreated) are given in FIG. 3. In this example a difference was found between the deflavored soy concentrate and the control, but none were
15 at the 95 percent confidence level, although all the values were lower. This is shown in FIG. 4. Also included are the results of a blind control used, which was rated after the deflavored sample. In this case, the blind control was found to have stronger flavor attributes than the original control of FIG. 3. It is believed that this occurred because the blind control in this example was
20 tested after the deflavored sample and appeared to the panel to have a relatively stronger flavor in the second evaluation of the control. However, when compared with the blind control sample, the deflavored sample showed significant differences for three of the flavor attributes at the 90 to 95 percent confidence level, as shown in FIG. 5.

25 **EXAMPLE 8.** The membrane used to deflavor soy proteins should have a molecular weight cutoff of 10,000 Daltons, shown to be effective in Examples 6 and 7. A higher molecular weight cutoff membrane can be used if desired, but at a molecular weight cutoff of 50,000 Daltons some valuable proteins have been lost in the permeate, as is shown in this example.

30 Five pounds (2.27 kg) of a dry soy isolate (Supro-670 PTI) was mixed with 95 pounds (43.2 kg) of water as in Example 7 to provide a slurry containing 5 percent soy solids. 1 N NaOH was added to raise the pH to 11

and solubilize the soy proteins. Diafiltration using five additions of water was carried out in a manner similar to that described in Examples 6 and 7 and using the hollow fiber membranes of Example 6. The pH was maintained at about 9 to about 12 during ultrafiltration/diafiltration. Samples of the permeate were taken at five minute intervals, neutralized and frozen for protein analysis.

The permeate samples were analyzed for total protein content by electrophoresis, with the results shown in the following table:

TABLE A:

| Time (minutes) | Molecular Weight Cutoff | |
|----------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| | 10,000 Daltons | 50,000 Daltons |
| | Protein (%) | Protein (%) |
| 0 | 0 | 0.4 |
| 5 | 0.6 | 1 |
| 10 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| 15 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| 20 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| 25 | 0 | 0.4 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.4 |
| 35 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.3 |
| 45 | 0 | N/A |

It can be seen that the membrane having a 10,000 Dalton cutoff retains more protein than the membrane having a 50,000 Dalton cutoff. The value at 35 minutes for the 10,000 Dalton membrane is believed to be erroneous.

EXAMPLE 9. Samples of soy materials deflavored using the methods of Examples 6-8 were analyzed by protein gel electrophoresis. The results indicate that the molecular weight distribution of the retained soy materials

was substantially the same as that of the original soy material. The results are shown in the following table:

TABLE B:

| Molecular Weight (KD) | Soy Material | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|
| | Soy Flavor | | Soy Isolate | | Soy Isolate | | Soy Milk | |
| | Control (%) | Deffavored (%) | Control (%) | Deffavored (%) | Control (%) | Deffavored (%) | Control (%) | Deffavored (%) |
| >26 | 74 | 73 | 21.7 | 19.7 | 22 | 20 | 69 | 71 |
| 14-27 | 18 | 19 | 30.8 | 32.2 | 31 | 32 | 20 | 21 |
| 3.5-14 | 7 | 8 | 47.4 | 48 | 45 | 48 | 10 | 9 |
| <3.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

EXAMPLE 10. Analyses were carried out for the chemical constituents associated with the flavor attributes determined by the sensory panels described in previous examples. Two samples of soy protein isolates were tested. One sample had been deflavored by the method described in Example 7; the second sample had not been deflavored.

In a first test, one gram of a control sample was diluted with 15 g of water, 2 µl of 300 ppm of 4-heptanone was added as an internal standard, and the mixture was purged with 100 ml/min of helium at 60°C for 30 min. A deflavored sample was prepared similarly as the control sample, except that the pH was raised to 10 by adding a NaOH solution in order to solubilize the proteins. The volatile compounds were analyzed by GC/MS (HP GC5890/MSD5972). The results for various compounds are shown in FIGS. 6 and 7. The deflavored soy sample contained smaller amounts of the flavoring compounds.

In a second test, three gram samples were diluted with 30 g of water and 2 µl of 300 ppm 4-heptanone was added as an internal standard. The resulting mixtures were purged with 100 ml/min of helium at 60°C for 20 min to remove the volatile compounds. The volatiles were analyzed by gas chromatography and the odor of the compounds judged by human criteria. The odors associated with specific chemical compounds are reported in the following table:

TABLE C: Odor Characteristics of Decreased Compounds After Deflavoring Process.

| Compound | Odor in SPI Control | Odor in Deflavored SPI |
|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1-pentanol | faint, green | weakly fatty |
| 2-ethylphenol | spicy, herbaceous | ND |
| 1-nitropentane | ND | ND |
| 1-octen-3-ol | mushroom, earthy, very strong | mushroom, earthy, strong |
| <i>cis</i> -2,4-heptadienal | ND | ND |
| <i>cis</i> -3-octen-2-one | ND | ND |
| <i>trans</i> -2,4-heptadienal | ND | weak green |
| acetophenone | burnt, floral, caramel | burnt, caramel |
| <i>cis, trans</i> -3,5-octadien-2-one | ND | ND |
| <i>trans, trans</i> -3,5-octadien-2-one | green, floral, fatty | fatty, green |
| 2,4-nonadienal | fatty, oily, deep-fried | fatty, oily, deep-fried |
| <i>cis</i> -2,4-decadienal | fatty, oily, musty | green onion, painty |
| 4-(1-methylpropyl)- phenol | bubblegum, fruity | ND |
| <i>trans</i> -2,4-decadienal | fatty, oily, waxy | fatty, oily, green |
| 2-pentylfuran | green, floral, etherous | green, floral, etherous |
| <i>trans</i> -3-octen-2-one | floral, green, earthy | floral |

EXAMPLE 11. This example illustrates the preparation of a deflavored and texturized soy material from soy flour (50 percent protein; Central Soya, Fort Wayne, IN). The soy flour (30 pounds) was hydrated in 270 pounds of

water at about 120°F for about 30 minutes in a jacketed tank with mixing. After completion of hydration, 1N NaOH was used to adjust the mixture to a pH of 10. The pH-adjusted mixture was stirred for about 20 minutes and then pre-filtered using an inline filter (120 micron screen). The resulting mixture
5 was then subjected to ultrafiltration/diafiltration using essentially the same spiral UF membrane (10,000 molecular weight cutoff) and procedure as described in Example 7. The pH was maintained at 10 during the course of the UF/DA process by the addition of 1N NaOH as necessary. The retentate was recirculated and water added as needed to maintain a constant volume.
10 UF/DA was complete when the amount of permeate collected was equal to 2.5 the starting volume. After completion of the UF/DA process, the pH of the soy solution was adjusted to 6.5 using 1 percent citric acid. The soy solution was then concentrated to 12 percent solids and then spray dried to obtain a solid deflavored soy material.

15 The deflavored soy material was then texturized in a twin screw extruder. The deflavored soy material and water were continuously fed into the extruder at rates of 16 pounds/hr and 3.5 pounds/hr, respectively. The deflavored soy material and water were converted into a viscous mass within the extruder at a temperature of about 150 to about 160°C (as measured at
20 the exit die) in order to denature and cook the soy material. The resulting cooked soy dough was then passed through a strand die (multiple openings of about 6 mm in diameter) attached to the end of the extruder in order to texture, shape, and puff the soy material. The moisture content of the extruded soy material was less than about 15 percent. The resulting strands
25 (generally about 5 to 15 mm in diameter and about 2 to 5 feet in length) were dried to a moisture content of less than 5 percent in a convention oven and then converted into 1/8 to 1/4 inch flakes for use in meat or meat analog products.

Example 12. The deflavored soy material flakes prepared in Example
30 11 were used to prepare veggie burgers using the formulation provided in the table below. A control sample using a commercially available texturized soy protein material was prepared for comparison purposes.

| Ingredient | Control (%) | Inventive (%) |
|----------------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Water | 60-70 | 60-70 |
| Texturized Soy Flakes | 15-25 | 0 |
| Deflavored Texturized Soy Flakes | 0 | 15-25 |
| Soy Protein Concentrate | 1-3 | 1-3 |
| Wheat Glutin | 3-5 | 3-5 |
| Methylcellulose | 0.5-1.5 | 0.5-1.5 |
| Spices/Flavor | 5-10 | 5-10 |

The dry ingredients were added to a mixer and mixed for about 5 minutes.

The water was added and mixing continued for about 20 minutes. The composition was formed into patties (about 80 g) and cooked in a 350°F convention oven (about 5 minutes).

The inventive sample had less beany flavor and was considered more neutral, with less off-flavors, than control sample. Using the deflavored soy material, it should be easier to control flavor by addition of spices, flavorings, and the like and to obtain a cleaner taste.

Example 13. This example illustrates the use of a deflavored soy material to form protein gels which can be used in meat or meat analog products. A dry deflavored soy isolate was prepared as in Example 11 using a commercial soy isolate. One part of the dry deflavored soy isolate and three parts water were blended in a consumer type blender on high speed for 2 minutes. The resulting protein gels were refrigerated overnight before evaluation. As compared to a control prepared in the same manner using the soy isolate starting material, the inventive material had less beany taste and a more bland or neutral taste. The protein gel was ideally suited for use in meat or meat analog products.

Example 14. This example demonstrate the preparation of a meatless sausage (Italian type) using the deflavored soy isolate of Example 13. The following formulations in the table below were used. A control sample using a similar, but undeflavored, soy isolate was prepared for comparison purposes.

| | Ingredient | Control (%) | Inventive (%) |
|----|--------------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| | Water | 50-60 | 50-60 |
| | Soy Protein Isolate | 5-10 | 0 |
| | Deflavored Soy Protein Isolate | 0 | 5-10 |
| 5 | Soy Protein Concentrate | 5-10 | 5-10 |
| | Wheat Gluten | 1-5 | 1-5 |
| | Oil | 5-10 | 5-10 |
| | Starch | 1-10 | 1-10 |
| | Dried Egg | 1-5 | 1-5 |
| 10 | Spices/Flavors/Colorants | 1-5 | 1-5 |

After dissolving colorants in the water, the soy protein concentrate was added and mixing continued for about 1 minutes. The mixer was turned off for about 4 minutes. The wheat gluten and dried egg were added and mixing continued for an additional 5 minutes. The spices, flavors, and oil were then added and mixing continued for an additional 5 minutes. The appropriate soy protein isolate or deflavored soy protein isolate were added and mixing continued for an additional minute. After adding the starch, mixing was continued for 20 minutes and the composition placed into appropriate sausage casings. The sausages were then cooked in a smokehouse to an internal temperature of 185°F for a minimum of 20 minutes. The cooked sausages were then chilled to about 80°F in a shower and then to less than about 40°G within 2 hours of exiting the smokehouse. After removing the casing, the sausages were frozen and packaged.

The inventive product with the deflavored soy ingredient had better flavor (i.e., cleaner with no off-flavor) and texture (i.e., firmer and better moisture) than the control sample.

Example 15. This example illustrates the use of a gel prepared from deflavored soy materials in ham and cheese loaves. The deflavored soy gel helps to bind the ham and cheese loaf without noticeable beany or other off-flavors and without significantly modifying the texture of the resulting product. The deflavored soy material can be used to replace at least a portion of the

ham and cheese in the loaf, thereby providing a higher protein level as well as lower saturated fat and cholesterol levels.

The following formulations were used to prepare control and inventive ham and cheese loaves:

| | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| 5 | Ingredient | Control (%) | Inventive (%) |
| | Ham Shank (lean) | 17.8 | 17.8 |
| | Ham Trimmings | 48.3 | 48.3 |
| | Corn Syrup (42DE) | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| | High Melt Cheddar | 8.1 | 8.1 |
| 10 | Sodium Lactate (60% Solution) | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| | Milk Powder Substitute | 3.6 | 0 |
| | Deffavored Soy Flour | 0 | 3.6 |
| | Spice Mixture | 0.18 | 0.18 |
| 15 | Salt | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| | Yellow Mustard | 0.62 | 0.62 |
| | Sodium Tripolyphosphate | 0.19 | 0.19 |
| | Sodium Diacetate | 0.16 | 0.16 |
| | Sugar | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| 20 | Sodium Erythorbate | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| | Propylene Glycol | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| | Water | 14.0 | 14.0 |

For the inventive sample, the deffavored soy flour (prepared in a manner similar to Example 11) was hydrated with a portion of the water by blending at about 45°F for about 2 minutes; the ratio of deffavored soy flour to water was about 4 to 1. For the control, of course, no deffavored soy flour was added. The lean meat, sodium tripolyphosphate, salt, and spice mixture were then added to the hydrated deffavored soy flour (inventive sample) or to water (control) and then blended for about 6 minutes at about 45°F. The remaining ingredients (except cheese) were added with additional mixing (about 2 to about 3 minutes at 45°F); the blended mixture was then emulsified in a food

processor at 68°F or about 2 minutes. The high melt cheddar cheese was then mixed with the emulsified mixture and blended for about 30 seconds. The resulting mixture was then stuffed into moisture-proof casings and then cooked in boiling water until the internal temperature reached about 165°F (about 13 minutes). The cooked loaves were then chilled in an ice water bath to below about 40°F and then cured in a refrigerator for 3 days before evaluation.

The inventive sample had a flavor similar to the control but a more tender texture. Both the control and the inventive samples had good flavor profile and organoleptic properties. The following results were obtained:

| Parameter | Control | Inventive |
|------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| Ash (%) | 3.0 | 3.5 |
| Carbohydrate (%) | 3.8 | 4.3 |
| Fat (%) | 12.7 | 13.5 |
| Moisture (%) | 65.9 | 63.2 |
| Protein (%) | 14.6 | 15.5 |
| Texture Profile (lbs/0.1 in) | 1.76 | 2.15 |

The inventive sample has lower saturated fat, as compared to the control sample, due to replacement of a portion of the meat with deflavored soy protein.

Based on labeling experiments (using soy antibodies with gold tags), the soy proteins appear to be acting as gelling agents to hold the myofibrular bundles in the meat and the other ingredients together.